

Deduction modulo theory

Gilles Dowek

Joint work with ∞

I. Comparing research projects in proof theory

Weaker vs. stronger systems

Several directions at the same time

Decomposing proofs, propositions, connectives, etc., into more atomic objects

Weaker than Predicate logic: propositional logic, linear logic, deep inference, equational logic, explicit substitution, etc.

Very little can be expressed in pure Predicate logic

Stronger than Predicate logic: axiomatic theories, modal logics, types theories, **Deduction modulo theory**, etc.

Logical vs. theoretical systems

Stronger than pure Predicate logic

New logical constants, new rules: modal logics, simple type theory, etc.

Function symbols and predicate symbols within Predicate logic, axioms: arithmetic, set theory, simple type theory, etc.

Deduction modulo theory: theoretical rather than logical

A framework in which it is possible to define many theories

Axioms vs. reduction rules

A theory: a set of ~~axioms~~ reduction rules

Axioms jeopardize: cut free proofs end with an introduction rule, witness property, search space of \perp empty, etc.

$$0 = 0 \longrightarrow \top$$

$$S(x) = 0 \longrightarrow \perp$$

$$0 = S(y) \longrightarrow \perp$$

$$S(x) = S(y) \longrightarrow x = y$$

Prove $4 = 4$, Peano third and fourth axiom

Deduction vs. computation

if $A \longrightarrow^* \top$, then A provable

Not the converse

Indeed, reducibility to \top decidable, not provability

On the opposite

If $A \longrightarrow^* \top$, proof of A just a computation (not a genuine deduction)

The origins of Deduction modulo theory

Automated theorem proving: equational unification (\mathcal{A} , β)

Definitional equality in Martin-Löf's type theory

Prawitz' Folding and unfolding rules

II. Problems and results: an overview

Expressing theories in Deduction modulo theories

Specific theories: Simple type theory, Arithmetic, Set theory, ...

General method for propositional logic, predicate logic:

consistency implies cut elimination (classical case), but not optimal efficiency

Partial methods for **constructive** logic (consistency not enough, what about consistency + witness?)

Automated theorem proving

Resolution modulo theory: too complex: clauses rewrite to non-clausal propositions

Polarized resolution modulo theory (and as a restriction of Resolution, SOS, SR)

Ordered polarized resolution modulo theory (iProver modulo)

Tableaux modulo theory: very good results for class theory (second-order logic, B-set theory)

Super Zenon and Zenon modulo

Models

Very close to Predicate logic: same models

Validity of rewrite rules: $A \equiv B$ implies $\llbracket A \rrbracket_\phi = \llbracket B \rrbracket_\phi$

Extension to models valued in Boolean / Heyting algebras

But: if $\vdash A \Leftrightarrow B$, then $\llbracket A \rrbracket_\phi = \llbracket B \rrbracket_\phi$ as well

Too extensional, drop antisymmetry

if $\vdash A \Leftrightarrow B$, then $\llbracket A \rrbracket_\phi \leq \llbracket B \rrbracket_\phi$ and $\llbracket A \rrbracket_\phi \geq \llbracket B \rrbracket_\phi$

if $A \equiv B$, then $\llbracket A \rrbracket_\phi = \llbracket B \rrbracket_\phi$

Many theories have a model in any pre-Heyting algebra

Cut elimination

Depends on the theory: $P \longrightarrow P \Rightarrow Q$ no,
 $P \longrightarrow Q \Rightarrow P$ yes

General criterion: a **model** valued in the (pre-Heyting) algebra of
Reducibility candidates

Only the construction of the **model** is specific

Dependent types

Algorithmic interpretation of proofs (Curry-de Bruijn-Howard isomorphism): usually for **specific** theories ($\lambda\Pi$ -calculus, Gödel's system T , Martin-Löf's type theory, Girard's system F , Calculus of (Inductive) Constructions, ...)

$\lambda\Pi$ -calculus + rewriting: **all theories** (\emptyset , Arithmetic, Simple type theory, Set theory, ...)

Decouple algorithmic interpretation of proofs ($\lambda\Pi$ -calculus) from the choice of a theory (rewriting)

Embedding Pure Type Systems in the $\lambda\Pi$ -calculus modulo theory

III. Focus on Dedukti

An proof-checker for $\lambda\Pi$ -modulo

Just a proof-checker (no tactics, program extraction, user interface, ...)

A suite of programs rather than a monolithic system

Difficult to implement : compile reduction (lambda-calculus + arbitrary rewrite rules), but now an **efficient implementation**

Download it and play with it

Why is it called Dedukti?

$\lambda\Pi$ -modulo theory: A logical framework (STT, PTS, etc.)

Importing proofs from other systems

Full library of HOL

Coq, Focalize: under progress

First-order proofs and proofs in Deduction modulo theory (iProver, Zenon, etc.): represent classical proofs

PVS: future work

Do your own

Future work: interoperability

If $A \Rightarrow B$ proved in \mathcal{T} and A proved in \mathcal{T}'

prove B in $\mathcal{T} \cup \mathcal{T}'$

$\mathcal{T} \cup \mathcal{T}'$ consistent? Cut elimination?

The HTML of proofs?

Future work: reverse mathematics

A proof of $0 + x = x$ in a **strong system** (CIC, Z)

What rules are actually used?

What is the minimal theory where we can prove this?

To which system can we **export** this proof?

Future work: tactics

A formalization of the Cubical model of HoTT

Would be great if we had rewrite rules at the level of tactics

Can we design a better tactic language if rewriting is primitive?